

# TO: Sydney Central City Planning Panel – 13 December 2018

**SUBJECT:** 31 Garfield Street, Wentworthville

FILE No: DA-2014/555/2 (Panel Reference: 2018SWC033 DA)

Application ladged	12 December 2017	
Application lodged	13 December 2017	
Applicant	Universal Property Group Pty Ltd	
Owner	UPG 5 Pty Ltd	
Application No.	DA-2014/555/2	
Description of Land	Lot 1 DP 1237158, 31 Garfield Street, Wentworthville	
	(9 lots have been consolidated into 1)	
Proposed Development	S4.55(2) modification seeking internal and external alterations	
	and additions to approved residential flat buildings	
Site Area	13,233.3m <sup>2</sup>	
Zoning	Zone R4 – High Density Residential	
Disclosure of political	Nil disclosure	
donations and gifts		
Heritage	No (within the vicinity of heritage items)	
Principal Development	FSR – 1.2:1	
Standards	Height of Building – <mark>15m</mark> - 18m	
Issues	Minor non-compliance with SEPP 65 ADG and Holroyd DCP	
	2013	



# **Table of Contents**

SUMMARY	3
Subject Site and surrounding area	4
Description of the proposed development	5
Applicants Supporting Statement	7
Internal Referrals	7
External Referrals	7
Planning Comments	7
Section 7.11 (formerly s94) Contribution towards provision or improvement of amenities or services	12
Disclosure of Political Donations and Gifts	12
Conclusion	12
RECOMMENDATION	12

# Table of Figures

Figure 1 – Locality Plan of subject site	.4
Figure 2 – Aerial view of subject site	.4
Figure 3 – Street view of the Garfield Street frontage of the subject site	. 5
Figure 3A – Street view of the Cumberland Highway frontage	.5
Figure 4 – ADG Compliance Table	. 9
Figure 5 –Holroyd LEP 2013 Compliance Table1	10
Figure 6 – Holroyd DCP 2013 Compliance Table1	11



### SUMMARY

- 1. Development Application No. 2014/555 was approved by Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel on 18 November 2015 for the demolition of existing structures, consolidation of 9 lots into 1 lot, construction of 3 residential flat buildings ranging in height between 5 and 7 storeys comprising 197 units over a level of basement car parking accommodating 257 car parking spaces at 31, 33 and 37B Garfield Street, Wentworthville.
- 2. The subject section 4.55(2) modification seeking internal and external alterations and additions to approved residential flat buildings was lodged on 13 December 2017.
- 3. The application was publicly notified to occupants and owners of the adjoining properties for a period of 21 days between 28 February 2018 to 21 March 2018. No submissions were received as a result of this notification.
- 4. Following Council's initial assessment with the application, amended plans and supporting documents were received on 3 December 2018 addressing issues raised by Council including floor space ratio and height.

Control	Required	Approved	Modification Proposed	%age variation
Habitable room width – 2&3 bedroom (RFDC/ADG)	4m	3.2m	4m walls to walls	10%
Car Parking	Basement parking required	Basement parking provided	<del>9 visitor car spaces</del> <del>on ground floor</del> <del>level</del>	-

5. The variations are as follows:

6. The original application was approved by the Panel being a regionally significant development. Under division 12A of Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, the subject s4.55 (2) modification application is referred to the Panel as the consent authority.

# REPORT

# SUBJECT SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA

The site is known as 31 Garfield Street, Wentworthville and is legally identified as Lot 1 in DP 1237158. The current allotment is a consolidation of 9 lots previously identified as Lot 29A in DP 307785, Lot 2 in DP 393797, Lot 1 in DP 264287, Lots 6-9 in DP 264286, Lot 3 in DP 212307, and Lot 1 in DP 212306. The total site area is 13,233.3m<sup>2</sup>.

The site is located on the eastern side of Cumberland Highway and is to the north of Mildred Street, the south of Pritchard Street and the west of Garfield Street.

The western side has a 193m frontage to Cumberland Highway. However, there is no direct access to Cumberland Highway. Access to Garfield Street is limited with 35.32m frontage to the northern end of the side. Pedestrian connection relies on pathway through 7 Mildred Street (now identified as SP 94193), which is created under a Voluntary Planning Agreement on 8 June 2016.



Previous Old Wentworthville Bowling Club structures have been demolished and the construction of the 3 residential flat buildings is currently underway. The site topograhy is relatively flat with a fall of approximately 3m across the site from eastern side to the western boundary. The surroundings are mostly low density residential developments that is transitioning into a higher density. The site is located with walking distance of approximately 350m from the Wentworthville town centre, 560m from the Wentworthville Railway Station, 230m from public bus stops, 560m from Great Western Highway amd 770m from the M4 Motorway.



Figure 1 – Locality Plan of subject site



Figure 2 – Aerial view of subject site





Figure 2 – Street view of the Garfield Street frontage of the subject site



Figure 3A – Street view of the Cumberland Highway frontage

# DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

DA 2014/555 was approved by Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel on 18 November 2015 for the demolition of existing structures, consolidation of 9 lots into 1 lot, construction of 3 residential flat buildings ranging in height between 5 and 7 storeys comprising 197 units over a level of basement car parking accommodating 257 car parking spaces.

DA 2014/555/2 seeks consent for internal and external alterations and additions to approved residential flat buildings, including stormwater, floor to floor height, built form, car parking and basement changes. No amendment is proposed to the approved numbers of units. Car parking is reduced from 257 to 252 244 spaces. Wording of condition 49 is to be amended.



Key features of the modification proposal are as follows:-

Features	Approved	Modifications
Car Parking	Single basement level extending under the 3 residential flat buildings with single driveway from Garfield Street leading into the basement behind Block C. Total of 257 parking spaces proposed with the following breakdown: - 217 resident spaces - 40 visitor spaces	Two driveways are now proposed to access one basement under Block C and another basement extending under Blocks A and B. Total of <del>252</del> 244 parking spaces proposed with the following breakdown: - <del>212</del> 204 resident spaces - 40 visitor spaces Of the overall car spaces, 34 are
	Of the overall car spaces, 34 are accessible (30 resident and 4 visitor). Storage area, dedicated car wash bay and 121 bicycles spaces are provided.	accessible (30 resident and 4 visitor). Storage area, dedicated car wash bay and bicycles spaces unchanged.
Waste Management	Waste and recycling storage room provided in basement with garbage chute on all levels.	Garbage chute room on all levels has been enlarged.
Unit Mix – Total: 197 units	Block A – 5 storeys - 37 x 2 bedroom - 31 x 3 bedroom - Total – 68 units	Block A – 5 storeys - 10 x 1 bedroom - 55 x 2 bedroom - 5 x 3 bedroom - Total – 70 units
	Block B – part 5 & 6 storeys - 2 x 1 bedroom - 45 x 2 bedroom - 22 x 3 bedroom - Total – 69 units	Block B – part 5 & 6 storeys - 1 x studio - 15 x 1 bedroom - 43 x 2 bedroom - 10 x 3 bedroom - Total – 69 units
	Block C – part 5 & part 7 storeys - 33 x 2 bedroom - 27 x 3 bedroom - Total – 60 units	Block C – part 5 & part 7 storeys - 16 x studio - 4 x 1 bedroom - 34 x 2 bedroom - 4 x 3 bedroom Total – 58 units
Communal Open Space Stormwater	36% (4,770m <sup>2</sup> ) between the buildings Subject to conditions.	33.2% (4,396m <sup>2</sup> ) between the buildings Open swales along the eastern and southern boundaries to intercept and convey minor overland flows from the subject site. Overland flow path is now to be discharged to Cumberland



# APPLICANTS SUPPORTING STATEMENT

A Statement of Environmental Effects dated 2 December 2017 Revision A prepared by Miletic-Mieler Development Consultants Pty Ltd in support of the application.

### INTERNAL REFERRALS

### Development Engineer

The development application was referred to Council's Development Engineer for comment who has advised that the proposed modification is satisfactory. Changes to condition 49 wording have been supported. Any works affecting Lots 101-103 in DP 830675 must maintain the terms of easement, subject to condition to be imposed.

### Traffic Engineer

The development application was referred to Council's Traffic Engineer for comment who has advised that the proposed modification is satisfactory.

### Waste Management

The modification application was referred to Council's Waste Management Officer for comment who has advised that the proposed modification is satisfactory.

### Tree Management

The development application was referred to Council's Tree Management Officer for comment who has advised that the proposed modification is satisfactory.

### EXTERNAL REFERRALS

### <u>RMS</u>

The applicant has provided RMS support for the proposed modification to the overland flow discharge into Cumberland Highway dated 31 October 2018.

### PLANNING COMMENTS

### The provisions of any Environmental Planning Instruments (EP& A Act s4.15 (1)(a)(i))

### Section 4.55(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (EP & A Act) 1979

Pursuant to section 4.55(2), a consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:

Requirement	Comment				
It is satisfied that the development to which the consent	The development as proposed to be				
as modified relates is substantially the same modified is substantially the same as the					
development as the development for which the consent	original consent.				



originally granted was modified (if at all), and         It has notified the application in accordance with:         (i) the regulations, if the regulations or require, or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan that requires the notification of a development consent, and       The persons who made a submission in respect of the original application were notified or the proposal.         It has notified, or made reasonable attempts to notify, each person who made a submission in respect of the relevant development application of the proposad modification by sending written notice to the last address known to the consent authority of the objector or other person       The persons who made a submissions were received.         It has notified or made reasonable attempts to notify, each person who made a submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.       As a result of the notification, no submissions were received.         In determining an application for modification of a consent under this section, the consent authority must take into consideration.       The provisions of the applicable EPIs are discussed elsewhere in this report.         The is a profile application.       The error solut of the application.       The provisions of the applicable EPIs are discussed elsewhere in this report.         The determining an application.       The reason solut of the matters referred to in the regulations.       The reason collect and council has been entered on 8 June 2016.         The term authority must also take into	F	
It has notified the application in accordance with: <ul> <li>(i) the regulations, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan, that requires the notification of a development consent, and</li> <li>It has notified, or made reasonable attempts to notify, ach persons who made a submission in respect of the original application were notified the consent authority of the objector or other person</li> <li>It has considered any submissions made concerning the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.</li> <li>In determining an application for modification of a consideration such of the antiters referred to this section 4.15 as are of relevance to the development take into consideration.</li> <li>As a result of the applicable EPIs are discussed elsewhere in this report.</li> <li>Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8 June 2016.</li> <li>There is no coastal zone management plan applying to the land.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.</li> <li>There is considered to suitable for the development take into consideration such of the matters referred to a subject of the application.</li> <li>There is no coastal zone management plan applying to the land.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulation.</li> <li>There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.</li> <li>There are no relevant matteres referred to in the regulations.</li> <li></li></ul>	was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	
each person who made a submission in respect of the proposed modification by sending written notice to the last address known to the consent authority of the objector or other person       respect of the original application were notified of the proposal.         It has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.       As a result of the notification, no submissions were received.         In determining an application for modification of a consent under this section, the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.       The provisions of the DCP 2013 are discussed elsewhere in this report.         Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8 June 2016.       There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.         The kelvy impacts of the proposal are considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.       The subject application is not constary to the public interest.         The consent authority must also take into consideration of the consent tauthority for the grant of the consent tauthority for the grant of the consent authority for the grant of the consent tauthority for the grant of the consent tauthority for the grant the original consent have been to considered.	It has notified the application in accordance with: (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a	with Holroyd Development Control Plan
It has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.       As a result of the notification, no submissions were received.         In determining an application for modification of a consent under this section, the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.       The provisions of the applicable EPIs are discussed elsewhere in this report.         Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8 June 2016.       Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applications.         There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.       There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.         There is no coastal zone management plan applying to the land.       The likely impacts of the proposal are considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.         No submissions were received as a result of the notification.       Approval of the subject application is not contrary to the public interest.         The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant the original consent have been considered. The development as proposed to be modified.	each person who made a submission in respect of the relevant development application of the proposed modification by sending written notice to the last address known to the consent authority of the objector	respect of the original application were
consent under this section, the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.discussed elsewhere in this report The provisions of HDCP 2013 are discussed elsewhere in this report.Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8 June 2016.There are no relevant matters referred to in the regulations.There is no coastal zone management plan applying to the land.The likely impacts of the proposal are considered satisfactory.The site is considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.The consent authority must also take into consideration of the consent that is sought to be modified.The consent that is sought to be modified.	It has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control	
the regulations.There is no coastal zone management plan applying to the land.The likely impacts of the proposal are considered satisfactory.The likely impacts of the proposal are considered satisfactory.The site is considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.No submissions were received as a result of the notification.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The consent that is sought to be modified.	consent under this section, the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 as are of relevance to the development the	discussed elsewhere in this report The provisions of HDCP 2013 are discussed elsewhere in this report. Voluntary Planning Agreement for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8
applying to the land.The likely impacts of the proposal are considered satisfactory.The site is considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.No submissions were received as a result of the notification.Approval of the subject application is not contrary to the public interest.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The consent that is sought to be modified.		
considered satisfactory.The site is considered to be suitable for the development as proposed to be modified.No submissions were received as a result of the notification.Approval of the subject application is not contrary to the public interest.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The consent that is sought to be modified.		
development as proposed to be modified.No submissions were received as a result of the notification.Approval of the subject application is not contrary to the public interest.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The consent that is sought to be modified.		
the notification.Approval of the subject application is not contrary to the public interest.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The reasons given by the regional panel to grant the original consent have been considered. The development as proposed to be modified will continue to satisfy this		
contrary to the public interest.The consent authority must also take into consideration the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant of the consent that is sought to be modified.The reasons given by the regional panel to grant the original consent have been considered. The development as proposed to be modified will continue to satisfy this		
the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant grant the original consent have been considered. The development as proposed to be modified will continue to satisfy this		contrary to the public interest.
l requirement	the reasons given by the consent authority for the grant	grant the original consent have been considered. The development as proposed to



State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposed development is affected by the following State Environmental Planning Policies:

### (a) State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011

Development of a type that is listed in Schedule 4A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 is defined as 'regional development' within the meaning of SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011. Such applications require a referral to a Sydney Planning Panel for determination. The proposed development constitutes 'Regional Development' as it has a Capital Investment Value (CIV) of \$42.8million. While Council is responsible for the assessment, determination of the application will be made by the Sydney Central City Planning Panel. The subject section 4.55(2) modification application is therefore referred to the Panel as the consent authority.

### (b) State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 – Remediation of Land (SEPP 55)

The requirement at clause 7 of SEPP 55 for the consent authority to be satisfied that the site is suitable or can be made suitable to accommodate the proposed development was considered under the original application. The proposed modifications do not raise any new concerns about site contamination.

# (c) Statement Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 - Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development

The proposal is classified as a residential apartment development and SEPP 65 applies. A design verification statement signed by registered architect Chee Lim was submitted with the s4.55(2) application. Compliance with SEPP 65 was addressed under the original application and the proposed modifications will result in the following non-compliances. The original application was assessed against the Residential Flat Design Code (RFDC). A comprehensive assessment against the Apartment Design Guide (ADG) controls is provided at attachment 3.

No.	Clause	Comment	Yes	No	N/A
4D	Apartment size and layout				
4D-3	Combined living/dining rooms have a minimum width of 4m for 2 and 3 bedroom apartments.	The 2 and 3 bedroom units have been approved with combined living/dining rooms' width of 3.2m. The proposed modification will improve the rooms by maintaining width of 4m including the surrounding walls.			

#### Figure 3 – ADG Compliance Table

### (d) Infrastructure State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007

The provisions of the Infrastructure SEPP (ISEPP) 2007 have been considered in the assessment of the development application.

Clause 102 and 104 – Impact of road noise or vibration on non-road development and Traffic-generating development



The subject development will continue to comply with the requirements of the original approval.

### (e) State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

BASIX certificate 857608M dated 15 November 2017 and prepared by Ecoinnovate was submitted with the application. The proposal achieves the target scores for energy, water and thermal comfort and relevant commitments are shown on the architectural plans. This certificate relies on pre July 2017 targets which do not apply to the subject proposal. Revised BASIX certificate is required.

### Regional Environmental Plans

The proposed development is affected by the following Regional Environmental Plan:

### Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005

The site is located within the area within the Sydney Harbour Catchment and SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 is applicable to the development application. The development application raises no issues as consistency with the requirements and objectives of the *Holroyd Development Control Plan 2013*.

### Local Environmental Plans

### Holroyd Local Environmental Plan 2013 (HLEP 2013)

The proposed development is defined as a 'residential flat building' under the provisions of HLEP 2013. Residential flat buildings are permitted with consent in the R4 – High Density Residential zone, which applies to the land. The proposed modification does not change land use as approved on the subject site.

The proposed modifications do not result any new non-compliances with the development standards. An assessment against all the relevant LEP provisions is provided at attachment 4.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARD		DISCUSSION
4.1 Minimum subdivision lot size	N/A	
4.3 Height of Buildings	Yes	Block A – 15m (RL42.45)
<mark>15m -</mark>		Block B – 18m (RL45.4)
Maximum 18m		Block C – 20.8m (RL4 <del>7.4</del> 46.1)
4.4 Floor Space Ratio	<mark>No</mark> Yes	The proposal was approved with FSR of 1.19:1 (GFA = 15,826.5m²). The
1.2:1 (Total GFA = 15,879.96m <sup>2</sup> )		subject modification results in FSR of 1.19:1 (GFA = <del>15,850.72</del> 15,806.22m²).
4.6 Exceptions to development	N/A	Not required for a modification
standards		application.
5.1A Land Reservation Acquisition	N/A	
5.10 Heritage Conservation	Yes	The site is not containing any heritage items. However, it is located within the vicinity of 2 heritage items.

Figure 4 – Holroyd LEP 2013 Compliance Table



### The provisions of any draft Environmental Planning Instruments (EP& A Act s4.15 (1)(a)(ii))

The proposed development is not affected by any relevant Draft Environmental Planning Instruments.

### The provisions of any Development Control Plans (EP& A Act s4.15 (1)(a)(iii))

### Holroyd Development Control Plan (HDCP) 2013

HDCP 2013 contains general controls which relate to all developments under Part A, and Residential Controls under Part B.

A comprehensive HDCP compliance table is attached to this report at attachment 5. A summary of the non-compliances is provided in the following table.

No.	Control	Comment	<mark>Yes</mark>	<mark>No</mark>	<mark>N/A</mark>
PART	B – Residential				
<mark>6</mark>	RESIDENTIAL FLAT BUILDINGS				
<mark>6.9</mark>	Parking and vehicular access				
	C1. Only basement carparking will be permitted for residential flat buildings.	9 visitor car spaces proposed on the ground floor level south of Block C, which are not supported. The area should be designated for communal use instead. Condition to delete the visitor car spaces on the ground floor level is to be imposed.			

#### Figure 5 – Holroyd DCP 2013 Compliance Table

4.15(1)(a)(iiia) - any planning agreement that has been entered into under part 7.4, or any draft planning agreement that a developer has offered to enter into under part 7.4, and

Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) for pedestrian access from Mildred Street between the applicant and Council has been entered on 8 June 2016.

### The provisions of the Regulations (EP& A Act s4.15 (1)(a)(iv))

The proposed development raises no concerns as to the relevant matters arising from the EP&A Regulations 2000.

### The Likely Environmental, Social or Economic Impacts (EP& A Act s4.15 (1)(b))

The likely environmental, social and economic impacts of the development have been assessed and are considered satisfactory.

### The suitability of the site for the development (EP&A Act s4.15 (1)(c))

The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.

### Submissions made in accordance with the Act or Regulation (EP&A Act s4.15 (1)(d)



Advertised (newspaper) 🛛 Mail 🖾 Sign 🖾 Not Required 🗌

In accordance with Council's Notification requirements contained within the Holroyd DCP 2013, the proposal was publicly exhibited for a period of 21 days between 28 February 2018 to 21 March 2018. No submissions were received as a result of the notification.

### The public interest (EP& A Act s4.15(1)(e))

The public interest is served by permitting the orderly and economic use of land, in a manner that is sensitive to the surrounding environment and has regard to the reasonable amenity expectations of surrounding land users. In view of the foregoing analysis, it is considered that approval of the proposed development would not be contrary to the public interest.

# SECTION 7.11 (FORMERLY S94) CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS PROVISION OR IMPROVEMENT OF AMENITIES OR SERVICES

The development would require the payment of contributions in accordance with Council Section 7.11 Contributions Plans.

The current proposed modification maintains the number of units being 197 with mix of:

- 46 x 1 bedroom/studio @\$8,674 = \$399,004
- 132 x 2 bedroom @\$14,669 = \$1,936,308
- 19 x 3 bedroom @\$20,000 = \$380,000 Total <del>\$3,104,372 **\$2,715,312**</del>

However, in accordance with section 5.3 Contribution Value of the VPA, any adjustment to the existing contribution is not applicable.

# DISCLOSURE OF POLITICAL DONATIONS AND GIFTS

The NSW Government introduced The Local Government and Planning Legislation Amendment (Political Donations) Act 2008 (NSW). This disclosure requirement is for all members of the public relating to political donations and gifts. The law introduces disclosure requirements for individuals or entities with a relevant financial interest as part of the lodgement of various types of development proposals and requests to initiate environmental planning instruments or development control plans. The applicant and notification process did not result in any disclosure of Political Donations and Gifts.

### CONCLUSION

The development application has been assessed in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development, Holroyd Local Environmental Plan 2013 and Holroyd Development Control Plan 2013, and is considered to be satisfactory.

### RECOMMENDATION

That Development Application 2014/555/2 which seeks consent for internal and external alterations and additions to approved residential flat buildings be **approved** subject to the conditions within the draft notice of determination provided at attachment 1.



# **ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment 1 Draft Notice of Determination
- **Attachment 2 Architectural Plans**
- Attachment 3 ADG Assessment Table
- Attachment 4 HLEP 2013 Assessment Table
- Attachment 5 HDCP 2013 Assessment Table
- Attachment 6 Comparison Approval and Modification Plans